

Analytical Methods (and Their Challenges) for Pharmaceuticals and Personal Care Products in Water

July 31, 2008

SAWPA Emerging Contaminants Workgroup

Y. Carrie Guo, Ph.D.

Metropolitan Water District of Southern California

Outline

- Introduction
- Analytical methods at MWD
 - List of analytes
 - Quality assurance/quality control
- Method comparison
- Upcoming project on analytical methods
- Summary

Analytical Methods for PPCPs in Water

- **Sample Concentration**
 - **Solid Phase Extraction (SPE)**
- **Compound Separation**
 - **Gas Chromatography (GC)**
 - **Liquid Chromatography (LC)**
- **Compound Detection and Quantitation**
 - **Mass Spectrometry (MS)**
 - **Tandem Mass Spectrometry (MS/MS)**

PPCPs: Analytical Challenges

- **What do you look for?**
 - **Universe of chemicals of potential health concern numbers in the tens of thousands**
- **Standard analytical procedures not available**
 - **Diverse structural and physiochemical properties**
 - **Extensive sample preparation**
 - **Sophisticated instrumental procedures**
- **Extremely low detection levels are needed.**
 - **Nanogram per liter levels (ng/L) - ppt**
 - **QA/QC protocols critical**

Analytical Methods at MWD

Sample Collection

- 3 liters per sampling site
- Pre-cleaned, 1-liter amber glass bottles
- Sodium azide as the biocide
- Ascorbic acid as the quenching agent
 - Degradation of some analytes was observed in the samples with residuals not quenched
 - The majority of the analytes were stable in the presence of ascorbic acid and sodium azide for a period of 28 days
- Field blanks to assess potential contamination

Sample Preparation: Solid Phase Extraction (SPE)

- 500 mL sample volume
- Concentrates contaminants onto a solid sorbent
- Desorbs contaminants prior to instrument analysis
- Same technology used in other standardized drinking water methods (e.g., nitrosamines, pesticides)



Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS)

- Technique used to qualitatively and quantitatively identify compounds
- Used for many regulated contaminants in water
 - Volatiles (e.g., TCE, toluene)
 - Semi-volatile compounds (hydrocarbons, pesticides)
- PPCPs
 - May require compound derivatization
 - Doesn't work for some of the more water soluble and higher molecular weight compounds



Liquid Chromatography/Tandem Mass Spectrometry (LC/MS/MS)

- Used to qualitatively and quantitatively identify compounds
 - Applicable to highly water soluble and higher molecular weight compounds not amenable to GC/MS
 - May experience matrix effects (suppression or enhancement)
- PPCPs
 - MS/MS improves analysis
 - Isotopic standards
- Technology not currently used for any regulated compounds in water



Method Detection/Reporting Levels



➤ MDL

- Statistical determination
- Produces a signal >0 with 99% probability

➤ MRL

- 3 to 5 times the MDL
- Practical and routinely achievable quantitation level

List of Analytes: GC/MS

Class	Compound	Use	MRL (ng/L)
Industrial By- Product	Anthracene	PAH	10
	Benzo[a]pyrene	PAH	25
Pesticide	Triazines: Atrazine, Cyanazine, Cyprazine, Propazine, Simazine	Pesticides	20
	Atrazine-Desethyl	Atrazine Degradate	20
	Atrazine-Desisopropyl	Atrazine Degradate	20
	g-BHC (Lindane)	Pesticide	10
	DDD	''	20
	Methoxychlor	''	20
Personal Care Product	Bisphenol A	Material used to make plastics	30
	DEET	Insect Repellent	20
	Nonylphenol	Surfactant	50
	Octylphenol	''	20
	Parabens (butyl, ethyl, methyl, propyl)	Antibacterial	20

List of Analytes: LC/MS/MS

Class	Compound	Use	MRL (ng/L)
Pharmaceutical	Carbamazepine	Anti-seizure	1
	Diclofenac	Anti-inflammatory	5
	Dilantin	Anti-epileptic	5
	Primidone	Anti-seizure	2
	Gemfibrozil	Anti-cholesterol	5
	Ibuprofen	Pain killer	10
	Sulfamethoxazole	Antibiotic	1
Personal Care Product	Triclosan	Antibacterial	5
	Caffeine	Stimulant	5
	TCEP	Flame retardant	5
Hormone	Ethinylestradiol	Birth control	10
Pesticide	Atrazine	Herbicide	1
	Diuron	”	5
	Linuron	”	5

Fate and Transport

- **Some EDCs and PPCPs are persistent in the environment – can be used as markers**
 - **Carbamazepine**
 - **Primidone**
- **Some are biodegradable**
 - **Caffeine**
- **Some can undergo photolysis**
 - **Gemfibrozil**
 - **Ibuprofen**
 - **Ethinylestradiol**

Quality Assurance/Quality Control

➤ QA/QC protocols at MWD

- Calibration curve based on extracted standards (min. 5 points)
- Duplicates and matrix spikes to assess precision and accuracy, respectively
 - ✓ 20% for relative percent difference
 - ✓ 70-130% spike recovery (except for TCEP)
- Field blanks and method blanks to assess potential contamination from the field and the laboratory procedures, respectively
 - ✓ Only report levels at least twice that in the blanks
- 13 isotopically labeled standards are used to correct for matrix effects in LC/MS/MS analysis, with the exception of TCEP

QA/QC Continued

➤ Inter-laboratory QA/QC

- Selected samples and selected analytes are analyzed by MWD, OCWD, and SNWA in parallel for method validation
- Round Robin Test in April 2008
 - ✓ 5 samples prepared at MWD
 - ✓ Different concentrations of PPCPs
 - ✓ MWD, OCWD, and SNWA participated
 - ✓ 7 of 10 common analytes had an RSD less than 30%

Round Robin Test Results (ng/L)

Surface Water, fortified with different levels of PPCPs

Analyte	Lab A	Lab B	Lab C
Bisphenol A	475	509	410
Caffeine	131	113	150
Carbamazepine	107	110	110
DEET	517	429	600
Gemfibrozil	282	123	210
Ibuprofen	258	201	200
Octylphenol	497	299	<25
Primidone	105	104	98
Sulfamethoxazole	113	104	92
Triclosan	242	156	210

Available Analytical Methods

➤ USGS

- 5 methods used in the study published in 2002

ES&T

- ✓ SPE followed by LC/MS

- ✓ Continuous liquid-liquid extraction followed by GC/MS

- Method published in 2008

➤ EPA 1694

➤ Shane Snyder et al

- Used for several AwwaRF studies

- SPE followed by LC/MS/MS

➤ MWD/OCWD/NWRI study

- Adapted methodology of S. Snyder

➤ Others

Method Comparison

Summary	MWD	EPA 1694	USGS
Number of Analytes	33	74	14
Type of Analytes	PPCPs, including one flame retardant, Bisphenol A, etc.	PPCPs; not including flame retardants, Bisphenol A, etc.	PPCPs; not including flame retardants, Bisphenol A, etc.
Method Description	SPE followed by GC/MS; SPE followed by LC/MS/MS	SPE, followed by LC/MS/MS	SPE, followed by LC/MS
Matrix Applicable	Water	Water, Soil, Sediment, Biosolids	Water
MRLs (ng/L)	1-50	2-500	15-100
Preservatives	Ascorbic acid, sodium azide	80 mg/L sodium thiosulfate	None; filtered
Isotope Dilution	Used for 13 of 14 LC analytes	Used for 18 Analytes	No
Holding Studies	Yes	No	Yes
Inter-laboratory Comparison	Yes	No	No
Potential Issues	---	Accuracy due to matrix effects; Range of precision and accuracy 5-200 %	Accuracy due to matrix effects (range of recovery 2-138%); MS/MS not used

USEPA Method Approval

- New methods are approved and published in CFR only when required to analyze regulated constituents
 - CDPH typically adopts EPA approved methods
- Constituents are regulated if:
 - There is significant occurrence
 - Occurrence is at concentrations considered to be adverse to human health
 - Regulation would result in risk abatement
- Certified methods are not likely until PPCPs are regulated or required to be monitored (e.g., UCMR)

Cost Considerations

- **Methods require significant capital investment**
 - **Instrumentation**
 - **Automated sample prep stations**
 - **Reference standards**
- **Methods require advanced analytical expertise**
- **Most water utilities do not have sufficient resources to conduct these methods**
 - **Only a few can, e.g. MWD, SNWA, and OCWD**
- **Commercial labs charge \$400- \$2,000 per sample depending on analytes and matrices**

Upcoming Research Project on PPCP Methods: AwwaRF RFP 4167

➤ Objectives

- Evaluate existing methods
- Low levels (ng/L) of EDCs and PPCPs
- Inter-laboratory comparison
- Part of the Strategic Initiative

➤ Anticipated Timeline

- Application deadline June 6, 2008
- Award in Aug/Sept 2008
- 18 months

PPCP Analytical Method Summary

- Analytical methods for PPCPs are an emerging technology
 - Require specialized instrumentation and techniques (isotope dilution)
 - Require specialized expertise
 - Not currently used for routine compliance monitoring
- Expert laboratories have developed reliable methods
- Method approvals are unlikely in near future
 - EPA method approval only happens when compounds are regulated or monitoring is required
 - Requires extensive QA/QC and inter-laboratory verification
- Expensive

Acknowledgements

- **Funding by the National Water Research Institute**
- **In-kind contribution by MWD and OCWD**
- **Co-PIs: Stuart Krasner of MWD, Steve Fitzsimmons, Greg Woodside, and Nira Yamachika of OCWD**
- **Staff at MWD: Hui Ying Liang, Tiffany Lee, Justin Troup, and Eduardo Garcia**