

MIDDLE SANTA ANA RIVER WATERSHED  
PATHOGEN TMDL WORKGROUP  
MEETING NOTES

August 18, 2010

PARTICIPANTS

Richard Meyerhoff  
Michele Hindersinn  
Don Allander  
Missy Kay  
Joe Rosales  
Stephen Wilson  
Ed Filadelfia  
Bonita Fan  
Menu Leddy  
Tim Moore  
Matt Yeager  
Jennifer Shepardson  
Valerie Housel  
Jessica Chin  
Rick Whetsel  
Sara Villa

REPRESENTATIVE

CDM  
City of Corona  
City of Chino  
City of Pomona  
City of Montclair  
City of Ontario  
City of Riverside  
Inland Empire Utilities Agency  
Orange County Water District  
Risk Sciences  
San Bernardino County Flood Control  
San Bernardino Municipal Water District  
San Bernardino Municipal Water District  
Consultant  
Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority  
Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority

Call to Order & Introductions

The Middle Santa Ana River Watershed Pathogen TMDL meeting was called to order at 1:07 p.m. by Rick Whetsel at the Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority (SAWPA) located at 11615 Sterling Avenue, Riverside, CA 92503. Brief introductions were made by each of the attendees.

Approval of the Meeting Notes

Mr. Whetsel asked for any comments or corrections to the June 29, 2010 meeting notes. The meeting notes were approved as submitted.

Status Update: Conceptual View of Comprehensive Bacteria Reduction Program (CDM)

Mr. Meyerhoff provided a power point presentation on the Comprehensive Bacteria Reduction Plan (CBRP). He stated that the progress to date included characterization of MSAR Watershed and MS4 Facilities, description of existing MS4 program and expected water quality benefits, and overall CBRP implementation structure including key elements planned for implementation. Mr. Meyerhoff noted that the CBRP permit has a list of requirements, four of the key items being Ordinances, Specific BMPs, Inspection Criteria, and specific regional treatment facilities and locations where such facilities will be built. He then gave a brief description of all the ordinances, specific BMP's, and the inspection program. He noted that the next step is the scientific/technical support for the compliance analysis considering eliminating DWF, eliminating / reducing bacteria to meet WQOs at the outfall, and attainment at the Watershed-wide compliance sites, along with the schedule, metrics and milestones, and roles and responsibilities. Discussion ensued amongst the Stakeholders, and it was noted that there should be monitoring in the Chris Basin, and Mr. Meyerhoff stated that it's really up to the Task Force to decide. Mr. Meyerhoff stated that he wants everyone to review and comment on anything they didn't understand because they are due to take it to the Board. Mr. Whetsel stated that the power point will be posted on the SAWPA website.

Status Update: Watershed-wide Compliance Monitoring Program (CDM)

Mr. Meyerhoff provided a handout of the Bacteria Indicator Results from May 17 through August 9. He stated that there is a 20 week sampling period in the summer and an 11 week sampling period from December to February. He noted that at the last meeting Ms. Leddy stated that the fecal coli form was too

low, and Mr. Meyerhoff said that they talked with the lab and they were going to write up an explanation on the results. Mr. Meyerhoff referred to the handout and gave a brief summary of the Geometric Mean as it was shown highlighted in red. It was noted that the sampling will continue until the last week of September or the first week of October. Mr. Meyerhoff noted that the Seasonal Report will be available in December.

#### Status Update: FY 2010-11 Source Evaluation Scope of Work for (CDM)

Mr. Meyerhoff provided a handout of the revised scope of work. He noted that he incorporated all the comments that some of the Stakeholders provided. Questions were raised about the Box Springs Channel in section 2.1, also due to San Bernardino County being unable to staff the labor it was switched to CDM. He noted that they will share the costs in section 2.3. Mr. Meyerhoff noted that IEUA comments came in for sections 2.3 and 2.4 asking if ten locations were enough for getting dry weather hydrology data. Mr. Moore noted that the answer to that was no, but that we can start now and place it in the CBRP. It was asked if the samples that have been collected were done consistently, and he noted that yes they were for Bacteria, and that the morning is the best time for flowing. Mr. Yeager's recommendation is to move forward with the scope of work and make sure it's the same time frame that it's collected. Mr. Meyerhoff stated that it would be best that we get it done by this fall. The Task Force approved the revised scope of work, however requested that work not begin until approval of the Scope of work by the Regional Board.

#### Update: TMDL Task Force Administration (SAWPA)

Mr. Whetsel stated that everyone's contribution remained the same and that there was going to be \$50,000 carry over, and if anyone had any comments to let him know. Ms. Kay from City of Pomona stated that when they prepared the budget they had allocated the budget from the previous year. She stated that they only budgeted 12,000 and she can pay that now, but asked the Task Force if maybe they can do some in-kind services with sampling or pay the rest of the amount within six months or so. Mr. Whetsel stated that SAWPA can take payments, and Ms. Kay asked what the procedure would be if they cannot come up with the contributions. It was noted that they would just keep invoicing them until all payment was received. Mr. Moore suggested that looking into task contingent on adequate funding, and it will get done once all the money is received. Mr. Yeager noted that the worst case scenario is that the City will not appear on the Deliverable. The Task Force agreed that a new invoice be submitted to the City of Pomona showing the full amount due and broken down into two payments.

#### Other Business

There was no other business to discuss.

#### Schedule Next Meeting

The next meeting is **Tentative** scheduled for Tuesday, October 26, 2010 at 1:30 p.m. at SAWPA.

#### Adjourn

There being no further business for review, the meeting adjourned at 3:54 p.m.