

MINUTES OF THE  
LAKE ELSINORE/CANYON LAKE TMDL  
TASK FORCE MEETING

November 9, 2009

TASK FORCE AGENCIES

Caltrans  
City of Canyon Lake  
City of Canyon Lake  
City of Hemet  
City of Lake Elsinore  
City of Lake Elsinore  
City of Menifee  
City of Moreno Valley  
City of Murrieta  
City of Riverside  
City of Wildomar  
Elsinore Valley Municipal Water District  
Eastern Municipal Water District  
Risk Sciences  
Riverside County Flood Control & WCD  
Riverside County Flood Control & WCD  
Riverside County Flood Control & WCD  
San Bernardino National Forest  
WRCAC

REPRESENTATIVE

Cathy Jochai  
James Grimm  
Nancy Horton  
Linda Nixon  
Pat Kilroy  
Rita Thompson  
Matt Simonetti  
Kent Wegelin  
Bill Woolsey  
Jessica Chin  
Tim Dzmura  
Ron Young  
Edward Filadelfia  
Tim Moore  
Albert Martinez  
Bob Collacott  
Jason Uhley  
Robert Taylor  
Pat Boldt (SJRWC)

TASK FORCE AGENCIES NOT PRESENT

City of Beaumont  
City of Perris  
City of San Jacinto  
Cleveland National Forest  
County of Riverside  
March Air Reserve Base  
March Air Reserve Base JPA  
Metropolitan Water District  
Riverside County Farm Bureau  
Riverside County -Transportation  
USFS

OTHERS

Floating Islands West  
RWQCB  
Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority  
Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority  
Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority

Darrell Smith  
Cindy Li  
Mark Norton  
Rick Whetsel  
Sara Villa

Call to Order & Introductions

The Lake Elsinore/Canyon Lake TMDL Task Force meeting was called to order at 1: 35 p.m. by Mr. Young at Santa Ana Watershed Project Authority, 11615 Sterling Avenue, Riverside, California.

Discussion: TMDL Implementation Schedule & RCFCWCD MS4 Permit

Mr. Uhley briefly described the process as to how the Lake Elsinore and Canyon Lake nutrient TMDL requirements were developed and how they got incorporated into the Riverside County MS4 permit as numeric effluent limits. Mr. Uhley gave a brief summary of the phases of the TMDL and the concern of the numeric effluent limit approach and then brought up questions and concerns to the Task Force in regards to the MS4 permit issues and the waste load allocations. Discussion ensued amongst the Stakeholders with regard to the concerns of MS4 and the numeric target limits being included in the Regional Boards Stormwater permits. Mr. Uhley stated that a second draft of the permit was released on October 22<sup>nd</sup> and the comments for them are due November 22<sup>nd</sup> and the Board is intending to adopt the MS4 on December 10<sup>th</sup>.

Mr. Uhley brought up the schedule of deliverables and requested that the Task Force move the dates forward because of the MS4 schedule adjustments. He noted that there are three tasks that have been amended; Phase 2 Alternatives for In-Lake Sediment Nutrient Reduction Strategy, the O&M Agreement for Fishery Management Plan, and the O&M Agreement for Aeration and Mixing Systems. Mr. Norton asked that the Task Force take a look at the FY 2009/10 Budget that was provided. Mr. Norton noted that he had some concerns on other items, for instance the delay of Stakeholders getting data to Tetra Tech for the watershed model and the result on that it's going to delay our original timeframe of getting the watershed modeling done by a month or two. Mr. Norton stated that he doesn't think three months is going to matter much, therefore he doesn't think the dates should be moved. There was a brief discussion amongst the Stakeholders. Mr. Uhley suggested that the Task Force go to the Regional Board and ask if they can take out some of the tables and recommend if they can adjust these numbers as they go along. Mr. Norton stated that it's a good suggestion to have the Task Force reach out to the Regional Board.

Mr. Uhley brought up the Monitoring Plan and stated that another thing that they are going to be suggesting to the Regional Board is that they allow us to propose our own mechanism to determine the effectiveness of BMPs. Mr. Moore stated that if we are looking into numeric effluent limits, and compliance is required by December 31, 2015, then what that means is that in order to obtain funding and permits to design and construct we will need to begin that work at the beginning of 2010, especially Phase 2 Alternatives process. The second issue is that we haven't addressed if whether or not in-lake treatment strategies are going to be considered legal in the State of California. A brief discussion ensued amongst the Stakeholders. Mr. Uhley asked if we can get a letter from either Mr. Norton or Mr. Young to support us the Task Force agrees that the wasteload allocation should be implemented as a BMP Approach and the TMDL requirements should be consistent with the adoptive implementation plan tasks and schedules. Also, the specific dates be incorporated and some of the tables be removed and change the dates forward. After much discussion Mr. Norton stated that he will work with Mr. Uhley and Mr. Moore on a letter to the Regional Board and suggested we also provide a presentation on December 10<sup>th</sup> regarding the permit and the impact on the Task Force approach. It was asked that we have a draft letter ready by our next meeting and meet with the Regional Board before the actual Board Meeting on December 10<sup>th</sup>.

Upon motion by Mr. Norton, and seconded by Mr. Kilroy the motion unanimously carried:

<p><b>TMDL 09/11-01</b> <b>MOVED</b>, Prepare a letter by Mr. Norton, Mr. Uhley, and Mr. Moore to provide comments on the merits and suggest changes to the Regional Board</p>
--

Schedule Next Meeting

The next meeting is scheduled for Monday, November 23, 2009, at 1:30 p.m., at SAWPA.

Adjournment

There being no further business for review, the meeting adjourned at 3:29 p.m.